ABSTRACT: The remains of a levelled hunebed with seven pairs of sidestones were excavated during the winter 1969-1970. Almost all structural parts had been removed or destroyed, but the contents of the chamber remained in situ, although fragmented. A large number of (mainly reconstructable) pots, many flint artefacts (arrowheads, bikkels, axes) and amber beads were found. In addition, sherds of an EGK amphora, three Bell Beakers and two Bell Beaker knives indicate later activity. A sherd of a kogelpot dates the destruction of the hunebed to the late 10th or 11th century AD.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The hunebed G2 is one of two destroyed megalithic tombs located on the Glimmer Es (municipality of Haren), about 9 km south-east of Groningen, the Netherlands. Both were excavated by J.N. Lanting on behalf of the Biologisch-Archæologisch Instituut, Groningen, who subsequently published the

NOTE ON THE FIGURES

The following conventions were adopted for the illustration of the reconstructed pottery:
- solid lines indicate certainty of reconstructed profile;
- broken lines indicate proposed reconstruction of profile;
- solid and heavy lines indicate certainty of reconstruction of decoration;
- fine lines or broken lines indicate proposed reconstruction of decoration;
- relevant sherds are shown on proposed reconstructions;
- sections with applied features (handles, lugs, bosses, cordons, footrings) shown by solid lines indicate certainty of location and reconstruction.

The drawings of the pottery are reproduced at the scale 1:3. The numbers refer to the catalogue. In figures 2 and 3 finds of pottery outside the chamber are indicated by catalogue numbers, as well.

The drawings of the flint and amber objects are reproduced at full scale, with the exception of the axes and axe fragments, which are shown at the scale 2:3. The numbers are the find numbers. Most of these numbers are indicated in figure 4, the remaining ones refer to either stray finds collected before, during and after the excavation (No. 1) or to material found during cleaning of areas larger than 1 x 1 m squares (Nos 20, 21, 37, 59, 60, 61, 67 and 68).

The majority of the pottery was drawn by S.W. Jager. Additional material was drawn by H.R. Roelink, who was also responsible for the illustrations of the flint, for tables 15 and 16, and for numbering and mounting all the figures. The flint axes were drawn by J.M. Smit; the plans are the work of J.H. Zwier.

2. THE DESTROYED MEGALITHIC MONUMENT

2.1. Discovery and excavation

In 1966 J.E. Musch, an amateur archaeologist, discovered two concentrations of small fragments of stone (chiefly crushed granite), sherds of TRB pottery, flint and fragments of burnt bone in the otherwise stoneless arable of the Glimmer Es (Bakker, 1982-83: p. 183). He identified these correctly as the sites of two destroyed megalithic tombs and duly informed the B.A.I. and the provincial archaeologist for Groningen. In 1969 it was decided to investigate both sites. The remains of the larger hunebed, G2, were excavated in the winter of 1969-70 and those of the smaller hunebed, G3, in the autumn of 1971.

Hunebed G2 was situated near the highest part of the Glimmer Es (maximum height c. 5.5 m above N.A.P.), in cadastral parcel Haren, Section H4, No. 2834, which at the time was owned by Mr. Kloots and worked by Mr. Scholtens, both of Noordlaren (fig. 1). The excavation took place between 3rd November 1969 and 26th February 1970. Because of hard frost, no work was possible between 9th December and 24th February. The excavation was carried out by K. Klaassens, J.H. Zwier, J.E.
Fig. 1. Location of hunebed G2.
The remains of hunebed G2 appeared immediately under the ploughsoil as an elongated, sub-rectangular pit measuring c. 14 x 5 m, filled with brownish sand, crushed granite, field stones and pieces of larger stones (chamber debris). The surviving grave goods were all found in this material. They were collected by square metre in order to record any possible information about their original place of deposition. The entire layer of chamber debris was sieved through a 6 x 6 mm mesh mounted on a hand driven potato sorter to ensure the collection of even very small artefacts. This proved very effective. Only one tiny amber bead and a fragment of a second were found in the sand under the sieve afterwards. The topsoil was not sieved and therefore a small amount of pottery and flint was not collected. In fact some flint was found afterwards in the redeposited topsoil by the amateur archaeologist E. Ameling (see below).

It was originally intended to excavate the remains of G2 in such a way that profiles through the layer of chamber debris could be examined. Therefore the excavation pit was divided into eight parts by placing a line over the long axis, one over the short axis and two lines parallel to but three metres distant from the last mentioned line. After excavating the first (upper) layer of chamber material in four alternating parts, it became clear that little information could be extracted from the profiles. It was therefore decided to excavate by level. The plan and square numbers (fig. 4) still indicate the original intention.

An approximately oval shaped cutting measuring 22 x 19 m was laid out around the remains of the destroyed chamber. The ploughsoil above the layer of chamber debris was only 0.20 m thick. Along the edges of the cutting this increased to 0.30-0.35 m. The subsoil consisted of stratified sand, the upper 0.30-0.40 m of which had lost its stratification, due to the activities of burrowing animals and the penetration of roots. Originally a podsol profile had been present, but with the exception of the lowest part of the iron pan layer, most of this had been removed by ploughing. Neolithic pits could not be traced in the structureless sand. However, some deeper pits were recognizable in the stratified sand, not because of their colour, but as disturbances in the stratification.

Four levels in the chamber area were planned during the excavation (figs 2 and 3).

- **Level 1.** Immediately below the ploughsoil. Only the outline of the large pit was recorded.

- **Level 2.** At the level of the upper surface of the paved floor, about 15-20 cm below Level 1. Extraction pits with their black, humic filling were clearly visible at this level.

- **Level 3.** Immediately under the floor, c. 16 cm below Level 2. The extraction pits were much smaller at this depth. Field stones became visible in apparently undisturbed yellow soil around the edges of some of these pits. These were the original packing stones along the edges of the sockets of the orthostats. These sockets were not visible, however, in the unstratified coversand.

- **Level 4.** C. 10 cm below the floor. Most of the extraction pits had disappeared at this level. In some cases, however, a field stone appeared below the extraction pits, in apparently undisturbed yellow sand. These stones were also packing stones in the orthostat sockets.

### 2.2. The destruction of the chamber

As stated above, the remains of the destroyed chamber appeared immediately below the ploughsoil as an elongated pit filled with dark soil, crushed granite, small pieces of stone and cobbles. It is a well-established fact that the chambers of megalithic tombs of the TRB culture were constructed in pits. The sockets for the orthostats were dug into the base of this pit and lined with a packing of field stones. The gaps between the orthostats were filled with dry stone wailing of small stone slabs. The floor of the pit within the chamber was paved with cobbles and then covered with a layer of crushed granite fragments. The chamber was surrounded with a packing of larger stones. Between these stones, the remainder of the foundation pit was filled with a mixture of sand and crushed granite.

Immediately below the ploughsoil, no difference was visible between the areas on either side of the orthostats (i.e. inside and outside the chamber), nor were the extraction pits of the orthostats recognizable. This was largely due to the fact that the top part of the layer of chamber debris contained a lot of dark topsoil, but also because it was impossible to clean this level adequately. The presence of this dark soil was due to infiltration and ploughing. When the chamber debris had been removed down to the level of the paved floor (small parts of which had survived), the original positions of the side-stones became visible as recent pits with a filling of dark, humic soil. These extraction pits cut through the layer of brownish sand, stones, and stone fragments; it was only due to plough damage and humic infiltration that they could not be recognized immediately below the ploughsoil. However, the profiles which were visible briefly during the excavation of the first layer (see 2.1) showed quite clearly that these extraction pits were younger. The orthostats must have been left in situ until most of the stone paving and the packing stones around the chamber had been removed. The removal of the orthostats and the backfilling of their extraction pits must have been the last stage in the destruction and levelling of this megalithic tomb.
A large pit in the extension of the NW side of the excavation cutting is apparently connected with the destruction of the chamber. In fact this consists of two pits, as a large part of the filling of the pit was dug out and backfilled again later. The colour of the filling and the sharpness of the contours indicated that the pits were relatively young and probably of medieval origin. Some field stones and a large fragment of a boulder were found in the filling. The pit may have been dug to bury an orthostat or capstone, or fragments of large stones which were dug out later.

As at G3 (Brindley, 1983) a fragment of a kogelpot with simple rim profile (No. 370) which can be dated to the late 10th or 11th century AD, was found amongst the chamber debris. The presence of this sherd almost certainly indicates that G2 was destroyed during this period. The jug (No. 363), described by Lanting (1974-75: p. 172) as medieval, is more probably of TRB origin.

2.3. The chamber

It was stated in the first publication of this excavation that G2 had six pairs of side stones (Lanting, 1974-75). However, on the published groundplan (Lanting, 1974-75: fig. 2), the correspondingly numbered extraction pits do not occur opposite each other, with the exceptions of Z5/Z5' and Z6/Z6'. Since this is unusual for a hunebed, the reconstruction must be incorrect. A re-examination of the field drawings by J.N. Lanting (who has been carrying out continuing research into Dutch megalithic tombs in recent years) has shown that G2 had originally seven pairs of side stones. The following reinterpretations can now be put forward.

a) The extension on the northeast side of the extraction pit of Sl 1 appears to be the extraction pit of a side stone. The small pits with a gritty filling north of this extension at Level 2 may indicate the positions of packing stones in the socket of this side stone. A field stone was discovered at Level 3 beneath the extension; this was possibly a packing stone at the bottom of this socket. This ‘new’ side stone should now be numbered Z1 and the pits Z1-Z6 of the first publication (Lanting, 1974-75: fig. 2) should now be renumbered Z2-Z7.

b) Between the extraction pits Z4' and Z5' in...
Hunebed G2

Fig. 3. Groundplan: levels 2, 3 and 4 combined. Legend: 1. level 2, outline of chamber debris and extraction pits; 2. level 3, extraction pits; 3. level 4, extraction pits; 4. level 4, packing stones in situ; 5. level 3, traces of chamber debris; 6. iron pan at edge of mound.

Figure 2 of the earlier publication (see above), is a large gap of about 2 metres. This is far larger than the normal gap between two side stones but it is also too large for an entrance gap. The most likely explanation is that a side stone with a very shallow foundation pit stood here originally, and that by Level 2 traces of this stone had disappeared. It is possible, however, that the brown patch with infiltration veins recorded at Level 2 may be connected with this side stone. This new side stone should now be numbered Z5'. The extraction pits Z5' and Z6' of the earlier publication (Lanting, 1974-75: fig. 2) should be renumbered as Z6' and Z7'.

c) Only in the case of Z1' did the pit filled with dark humic soil at Level 2 not correspond fully with the traces of an extraction pit and packing stones in situ at Level 3 and 4. This means that Z1' stood closer to SI 1 than was indicated in the earlier publication (Lanting, 1974-75: fig. 2).

Following these changes the chamber now appears to have been very regular with the correspondingly numbered extraction pits lying opposite each other. A new ground plan is published here on which the extraction pits have been renumbered (fig. 3).

The small pit with a similar dark humic filling to the extraction pits east of SI 2 may have been due to the removal of a large boulder in the stone packing around the chamber.

The orientation of the chamber was ENE-WSW, its internal measurements were 11 x 2.2 m. Large parts of the paved floor of field stones had been broken up, but some substantial parts remained intact. The surface of the floor was uncovered c. 0.35-0.40 m below the surface of the field. This must correspond more-or-less to the original depth of the chamber below the surface at the time of its construction.

A hunebed orientated ENE-WSW would have had its entrance near the centre of its south side. There is evidence to suggest that the entrance to G2 occurred between Z3' and Z4', that is, west of centre. Between Z3' and Z4' the paved chamber floor was defined by a strip of slightly larger stones which projected above the level of the rest of the chamber floor. It is possible that these stones were originally laid along a sill stone which disappeared.
Fig. 4. Layout of excavation squares with find numbers. Positions of orthostats shaded, x indicates no finds.

Fig. 5. Distribution of trapezoidal arrowheads and flint axes.

Fig. 6. Distribution of amber beads.

Fig. 7. Distribution of sherds of lugged bowls, Horizon 3.
during the destruction of the chamber. An oval shaped ‘recent’ pit filled with brownish sand and stone grit at Level 1 and a subrectangular patch of iron pan infiltration at Level 2 were noticed south-west of Z4’ in the area where a portal stone would have stood if the entrance to the chamber had been between Z3’ and Z4’. The ‘recent’ pit may be due to the removal of the portal stone and the infiltration may have been caused by the former presence of the stone, as in the case of side stone Z5’. The absence of a socket is not surprising; in general, portal stones are smaller than side stones and do not have such deep sockets. This explains why no traces of the corresponding portal stone were recognized west of the entrance.

2.4. The mound

As with all megalithic tombs in the Netherlands, G2 was originally surrounded by a mound of earth. This mound was also levelled when the chamber was demolished. No podsol profile had yet developed at the time the chamber and mound were constructed; this only took place subsequently. Therefore the former mound was traceable by the absence of iron pan infiltration immediately around the chamber and its presence near the edges of the cutting. Unfortunately, only the lower part of the iron pan layer had escaped being ploughed away and in places only faint traces were still present. Nevertheless, an approximate outline of the shape and size of the mound could be made out. It was apparently an elongated subrectangular-to-oval shaped mound c. 19 m long and c. 10 m wide (fig. 2).

There is some evidence that the mound was originally defined by a kerb. Not all hunebeds had kerbs but they are most commonly associated with hunebeds with two pairs of portal stones. Concentrations of field stones were found in several places along the north and west sides of the chamber, more-or-less along the edge of the iron pan infiltration. These stones are possibly packing stones from kerb sockets. The sockets of kerb stones are generally very shallow and would therefore be amongst the first features to disappear. This may also explain why traces of kerb and portal features are almost never found during excavations of destroyed megaliths in the Netherlands. The concentrations of field stones along the edges of the former mound of G2 may be an exception to this general rule. The shape and size of the mound, and especially the distance of c. 2 m or possibly slightly more between the chamber and the edge of the mound can also be taken as indicative of the presence a kerb originally.

2.5. Features outside the chamber

Several features associated with pottery were uncovered outside the chamber area (figs 2 and 3).

a) Northeast of SI 2 a burial pit, orientated NW-SE, became visible when the stratified sand was uncovered (length 2.1 m, width 0.8 m, depth below present ground surface 0.7 m). Eight field stones were found at the bottom of the pit, six close together near the southeast end and one each close to the middle of the long sides. A large funnel beaker (No. 38) was found near the NW end of the pit, in an inverted position. A small piece of flint without traces of either working or use was found in the pit fill. This should be considered as a stray find. Although no trace of a corpse silhouette was found, the absence of any cremated bone indicates that this was an inhumation burial. The function of the field stones is unclear. These would be explained as coffin supports in a Bronze Age grave but it is not known if they fulfilled the same purpose in TRB burials. The dimensions of the pit and the position of the stones suggest extended burial, but again, absolute certainty is not possible. Nor is the stratigraphic relationship between this burial and the megalithic tomb clear; the burial occurred near the edge of, but clearly beneath, the former mound. It could, therefore, be earlier than the mound but this cannot be proven. Its position under the mound and presumably just within the kerb are not necessarily arguments against the digging of the grave pit after the construction of the mound covering the megalithic chamber as Lanting (1974-75: p. 177) thought.

b) Southeast of the extraction pit of SI 2 a small undecorated bowl with a footing (No. 198) was found beneath two field stones, close to the edge of the former mound. No traces of a pit were visible in the structureless sand.

c) Southeast of Z7’ and outside the mound a subrectangular pit (0.90 x 0.90 m) was found. The base of the pit was c. 0.9 m below the present surface of the field. The outline of the pit was only visible in the stratified sand. It contained two vessels. A faint circle of small fragments of charcoal occurred at the level of the uppermost part of these pots. This charcoal was collected and dated: 4380±40 BP (GrN-6156). The pottery consisted of a straight walled pail with four lugs close to the rim and a five or six footed base (part of the base has decayed; No. 133). This was accompanied by a single lugged beaker with a thumb sized horizontal lug (No. 103). The function of this pit is unclear. No cremated bone or traces of a corpse silhouette were found. The dimensions of the pit were only large enough for a child burial. It is possible, of course, that this is not a burial pit but one for an offering.

d) South of Z7’ an undecorated poorly made beaker with an uneven base (No. 102) was found in a pale grey patch. The findspot was well within the edge of the mound but it is clear in this case that the pot must have been buried after the construction of the mound.
Fig. 8. Distribution of sherds of tureen-amphorae, Horizon 5.

Fig. 9. Distribution of sherds of service set, Horizon 3.

Fig. 10. Distribution of sherds of service set, Horizon 3.

Fig. 11. Distribution of sherds of service set, Horizon 4.
e) 2.5-3 m west of Sl 1 a small, undecorated bowl with an uneven base (No. 199) was found in a grey patch with a few pieces of charcoal which was therefore visible in the structureless sand. This pit was dug close to the edge of the mound.

2.6. Distribution of material in the chamber (figs 5-14)

Although the structure of the hunebed was almost completely destroyed (all traces of the mound and orthostats having disappeared and only a small portion of the floor remaining in situ), the contents of the chamber survived not only relatively intact but more-or-less in their original position. In many cases, the distribution of sherds of a single pot was fairly well nucleated. These nuclei represent the original position of the pot, not a disturbed position. This is shown by the distribution of sherds of various 'service sets' (see figs 9-13). The term 'original position of the pot, not a disturbed position' was preferred. A larger number of sherds and other items were found in the east end of the chamber, but equally over the whole chamber. The distribution of arrowheads (fig. 5) reflects this overall distribution of finds.

Figure 7 shows the distribution of several bowls of Horizon 3. The sherds indicate the original location of the individual bowls, the distribution of which show that no particular part of the chamber was preferred. A larger number of sherds and other items were found in the east end of the chamber, but finds from all horizons and of all types were spread equally over the whole chamber. The distribution of arrowheads (fig. 5) reflects this overall distribution of finds.

3. FINDS

All finds are lodged in the Groninger Museum, Groningen, inventory numbers 1969/X (excavation B.A.I.) and 1987/I/1 (Ameling collection).

3.1. Flint

994 pieces of flint were found before and during the excavation. E. Ameling, an amateur archaeologist, later collected a further 280 pieces from the redeposited and unsieved topsoil. These were only recently brought to the attention of, and presented to, the B.A.I. There is a curious difference between the material collected by the B.A.I. and by Ameling. In the B.A.I. collection, at least 154 pieces are clearly recognizable as tools and another c. 50 pieces show traces of working or use. Of the 280 pieces in Ameling's collection, the vast majority are unworked pieces and flakes. Only six tools are present. Furthermore, the large number of burnt pieces (75) is surprising. Amongst these, eight pieces of a large axe are recognizable. It is possible that the other pieces also belong to this axe.

As stated previously, during the excavation the finds were collected by sieving with a potato sorter and recorded by square metre. With the exception of the axes, all the flint material in the B.A.I. collection was included by Deckers within the context of his research on Neolithic flint working. Ameling's collection was only brought to his attention after Deckers finished his work on the G2 flint material. Therefore only the tools in this collection will be included in his publication.

A detailed description of the tools is given by Deckers (forthcoming). Here it is sufficient to present the tools according to traditional categories. The assemblage includes (figs 17-25):

- 119 transverse arrowheads. The small arrowhead with retouch along one side which was found in square 3, resembles a Mesolithic A-point, but is probably an unusually narrow TRB arrowhead. As in other hunebeds, unworked flakes with the proportions of transverse arrowheads were found at G2. These probably fulfilled the same function. These unworked pieces are not included in the numbers given or illustrated;
  - 11 scrapers;
  - 1 fragment of a bi-facially worked artefact (not illustrated);
  - 4 blades with retouch, three of which show sickle gloss;
  - 21 bikkels, one of which is made from a piece of a polished flint axe. Some of these bikkels seem to have been used as strike-a-lights. Recently a bikkels and an iron ore nodule were found together in a flat grave with Horizon 4 pottery near Heek-Ammarter Mark, Kr. Borken (information W. Finke). Other bikkels may have been used as retouching tools or borer;
- 4 flint axes. Two of these were found together in square 4 and are probably an original association. The small axe (No. 1) has a badly damaged cutting edge and was probably used as a hammer stone at some stage. In addition to these, there are two large pieces of flint axes with traces of secondary working. The pieces of burnt axe in the Ameling collection are not included in this discussion;

- 2 oval flint knives with marginal retouch which belong to the Bell Beaker phase of activity (Lanting, 1973: p. 232).

3.2. Amber

71 pieces of amber were recovered. This large number is attributable chiefly to the use of a 6 mm mesh sieve during the excavation. Apart from a single hemispherical unperforated piece found in the ploughsoil, all the pieces are either complete, or portions of, beads. The beads are of two main forms, tubular and disc, the latter being the more common. Most of the beads were found in three concentrations (Fig. 26), two in the eastern half of the chamber, and the other (about 12 beads) in the
western half. The hemispherical unperforated piece is possibly an unfinished amber bead of the type found in Beaker graves.

3.3. Bone

No unburnt bone was preserved. Hunebed inventories from a.o. Hilter, Kr. Osnabrück (Schlüter, 1985: Fig. 126-129) and Wechte, Kr. Steinfurt (Knöll, 1983: Tafel 62) indicate the general range of bone objects deposited in megalithic tombs, but the soil conditions in this area are not suitable for the preservation of unburnt bone. It is very likely that bone points and tusk/teeth pendants originally formed part of the grave gifts.

3.4. Burials

A very small amount of cremated bone was recovered. Since it is unlikely that any was removed prior to the discovery and excavation of the tomb, and as no significant amount was lost during the excavation, this must mean that the chamber never contained large amounts of cremated bone. No estimate of the original quantity of unburnt bone is possible, but as several pots (often 5 or 6) are commonly found in what seem to have been originally single burials in flat graves, there is no reason to believe that there should have been an interment with every gift; it is also clear from the pottery itself, that groups of pottery (the so-called service sets) were deposited as single acts. Furthermore, there is no evidence one way or the other for burials without gifts or with perishable gifts, both of which conceivably took place.

3.5. TRB pottery

3.5.1. General remarks

The following sections are devoted to a descriptive account of the TRB pottery. About 8500 sherds of TRB pottery were found in the chamber debris and in the ploughsoil before and during the excavation. This material was sorted over a period of five months. The assemblage originally consisted of approximately four hundred pots representing all but the earliest stages of West Group TRB pottery. About 360 pots are reconstructable to a large extent. An additional forty can be recognized by distinctive rim, shoulder or decorated sherds, as not belonging to any other identifiable pot. The bases have not been included in this figure. Although examined exhaustively, 78 fairly complete bases could not be definitely associated with body sherds. Six more-or-less complete pots were also found outside the chamber (see fig. 15) rather than by horizon. Two problems were encountered in doing this – one being the absence of terminology for various fairly specific and well-represented types. For these it was found necessary to introduce new terminology in the form of two new terms and to modify an existing one (see fig. 15):

- funnel beaker cup,
- shouldered vases, with or without lugs,
- tureen-amphorae/amphorae types 1 and 2.

This procedure was found to be fairly successful but a second problem was occasionally encountered – where several pots exist with similar shape, but are apparently otherwise unrelated. The vast majority of the material treated under the heading 'Lugged bowls and pails' belongs to the earlier TRB phases (i.e. Horizon 3). Pail No. 133, however, belongs to a much later stage of the sequence. An alternative approach, by established groups or phases, was also considered but rejected. Recent work by Voss (1982), Taayke (1985: pp. 131-132), and Brindley (1986) has shown that some modifications to the existing typochronology (Bakker, 1979) are necessary.

3.5.2. Catalogue

3.5.2.1. Contents

Funnel beakers
Funnel beaker cups
Lugged beakers
Lugged bowls and pails
Non-lugged decorated bowls
Non-lugged undecorated bowls
Necked bowls
Cordoned bowls
Jugs
Tureens
Amphorae
Undecorated lugged/non-lugged shouldered vases
Collared flasks
Biberons
Miscellaneous
Total
Decorated rims
Body sherds
Bases

3.5.2.2. Descriptions

Funnel beakers


4. Incomplete. Flaring rim and rounded body. Fine vertical lines on body.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>HORIZON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUNNEL BEAKER</td>
<td>1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUGGED BEAKER</td>
<td>1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNNEL BEAKER CUP</td>
<td>5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUG</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUREEN</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUREEN–AMPHORA</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. Table of most common pot types.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>HORIZON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NECKED ROWL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPHORA TYPE 1</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPHORA TYPE 2</td>
<td>4 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUGGED OR NON-LUGGED SHOULDERED VASE</td>
<td>2 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUGGED BOWL</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAIL</td>
<td>2 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-LUGGED BOWL</td>
<td>decorated 3 – 5, undecorated 2 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLARED FLASK</td>
<td>1 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIBERON</td>
<td>2 – 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15 (cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DECORATION</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. tiefstich</td>
<td>2. tværstik</td>
<td>3. incised lozenge</td>
<td>4. zigzag</td>
<td>5. C motif</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. continuous horizontal line</td>
<td>b. discontinuous horizontal line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horizontal band of verticals (upper zone)</td>
<td>a. continuous</td>
<td>b. discontinuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large multiple zigzag</td>
<td>multiple zigzag</td>
<td>close set multiple zigzag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horizontal blocks</td>
<td>a. zigzag</td>
<td>b. tiefstich</td>
<td>c. hollow stamp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vertical blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternating long and short vertical blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continuous verticals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16. Table of main decorative elements, layout and applied features.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatched triangles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filled triangles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo triangles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder stamp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple arcs</td>
<td>a. rounded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. pointed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted arcs</td>
<td>a. rounded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. pointed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>a. horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. chevron zigzag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>a. horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zipper</td>
<td>a. horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple strips</td>
<td>a. double</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. treble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16 (cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied Features</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>discontinuous cordon</td>
<td>strap handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>thumb shaped horizontal lug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>footring base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>angular profile and long shoulder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. Complete profile. Flaring neck and fairly short, rounded shoulder. 2 lines of {\em tvaerslik} at base of neck, vertical {\em Tiefstich} lines on body.
13. Fragmentary. Plain neck, vertical lines on body.
17. Incomplete. Body sherds only. Vertical lines on body.
23. Almost complete profile. Flaring neck and small, rounded shoulder. 2 {\em tvaerslik} lines at base of neck, vertical {\em Tiefstich} on body.
24. Incomplete. Similar to No. 23 but smaller.
26. Almost complete profile. Flaring neck and short, rounded shoulder. 3 lines on shoulder, vertical blocks on body.
27. Incomplete. Flaring neck and very slight shoulder. Vertical lines on body.
29. Fragmentary. Vertical lines on body.
30. Incomplete. Flaring undecorated neck, verticals on body.
31 a. Fragmentary. {\em Tvaerslik} line at base of neck, vertical lines below.
31 b. Fragmentary. Not part of 31a or 31 c.
31 c. Fragmentary. Not part of 31a or 31b.
33. Fragmentary. Flaring neck, horizontal line at base of neck, vertical lines on body.
34. Incomplete. Body sherds only. Vertical lines.
35. Incomplete. Body sherds only. Vertical lines.
37. Complete profile. Cylindrical neck and almost imperceptible shoulder. Vertical lines on body in blocks alternating in length.
38. Complete. From the burial pit northeast of SI 2. Plain neck, alternating panels of vertical lines and short fringe on body.
40. Incomplete. Thick walled with rounded shoulder. Two lines at base of neck. Vertical blocks on body.
42. Fragment. Vertical blocks on body.
43. Incomplete. Short, flaring neck and gently curving profile. Fringe along shoulder.
44. Almost complete profile. Small, thick-walled beaker with rounded shoulder. Panels of vertical lines on body.
45. Incomplete. Long-neck, short shoulder. Large, double zigzag on neck, double line of very small zigzag on shoulder, vertical lines on body.
46. Fragment. Portion of large multiple zigzag. See No. 48.
47. Incomplete. Flaring neck and slight, rounded shoulder. Large treble zigzag on neck, vertical lines on body.
48. Complete profile. Flaring neck and small, rounded shoulder. Four large zigzags on neck, stab marks at base of neck, long tapering blocks on body. Horizon 5.
49. Incomplete. Short necked, wide funnel beaker with double zigzag below rim and fringe on small, rounded shoulder.
50. Incomplete. Flaring neck. Double zigzag below rim, 3 lines at base of neck and lines on body.
51. Almost complete profile. Slightly flaring neck, and very slight shoulder. Double zigzag below rim, line of small {\em tvaerslik} at base of neck. Horizon 5?
52. Incomplete. Short, cylindrical neck. Zigzag below rim, fringe on shoulder. Horizon 5?
56. Almost complete profile. Relatively straight neck, and very slight shoulder. Zigzag at base of neck, verticals on body.
59. Complete profile. Flaring rim and slight rounded shoulder. Double zigzag on neck, broad {\em Tiefstich} line at base of neck and {\em Tiefstich} verticals on body.
60. Fragmentary. Body sherds only. Zigzag (?) at base of neck. Vertical lines on body.
61. Complete profile. Thick walled; sharp shoulder, slightly flaring neck. Zigzag at base of neck, line and fringe on shoulder, vertical blocks on body.
63. Incomplete. Short shoulder; zigzag at base of neck, horizontal line on shoulder with blocks of pendant {\em Tiefstich} and {\em tvaerslik}.
68. Long, flaring neck, long shoulder and sharp angles between neck, shoulder and body. Plain neck, stab marks at junction of neck and shoulder, neatly executed lines of stab marks along shoulder, and vertical {\em Tiefstich} lines on body.
70. Incomplete. Flaring neck. Stab marks along shoulder, vertical lines on body. Decoration is very faint and may represent guidelines for ornament never executed.
71. Complete profile. Slightly flaring neck, and slight shoulder. Very faint lines on body may represent guidelines for ornament never executed.
72. Incomplete. Weak profile. Line of small pits below rim.
73. Incomplete. Slightly conical neck and weak shoulder.
74. Incomplete. Angular profile, vertical neck and flaring rim tip.
75. Incomplete. Undecorated neck.
76. Fragment. Flaring neck.
77. Incomplete. Slightly flaring neck, d. 17.9 cm.
78. Incomplete. Short, horizontal shoulder and slightly rounded body.
79. Incomplete. Neck d. 13.5 cm.
80. Incomplete. Slightly flaring, straight neck and short,
slightly rounded shoulder.
82. Almost complete. Thick-walled beaker with weak profile.
83. Incomplete. Unaccentuated profile.
84. Incomplete. Slightly conical neck and weak shoulder.
85. Incomplete. Straight neck and weak shoulder.
86. Complete profile. Wide, short necked, thick-based funnel beaker with conical neck and weak shoulder.
87. Incomplete. Wide, short necked funnel beaker.
88. Fragmentary. Neck sherds only.
89. Fragmentary. Neck sherds only.
90. Almost complete. Crudely made funnel beaker. Outer surface is covered with vertical scratch marks.
91. Small funnel beaker with weak profile.
92. Incomplete. Neck sherds only.
94. Incomplete. Small, thin-walled funnel beaker body.
95. Fragmentary. Thin protruding ledge rim.
96. Fragmentary. Thin, protruding ledge rim.
97. Incomplete. Very large, thick-walled, well-finished beaker with cylindrical neck and rounded shoulder, neck d. 22 cm.
98. Incomplete. Large, poorly fired funnel beaker, neck d. 16 cm.
99. Incomplete. Large funnel beaker of well baked coarse fabric, neck d. 19.6 cm.
100. Incomplete. Thick-walled beaker. Well finished. Neck d. c. 15.7 cm.
102. Complete. Slack profiled funnel beaker. Found outside the chamber.

Funnel beaker cups
103. Complete. Slightly ‘S’-shaped cup with narrow, horizontal, unpierced lug.
104. Almost complete. Horizontal groove marking junction of neck and body. Narrow, horizontal, unpierced lug.
105. Complete profile. Conical bowl with short neck and vestigial shoulder visible in the slight increase in thickness of body wall.
106. Incomplete. Thin-walled with very slight, short neck and very slight almost unmarked shoulder. Narrow, horizontal, unpierced lug.
110. Sherd of three narrow, horizontal, unpierced lugs from vessels similar to Nos 103-109.

Lugged beakers
111. Incomplete; neck sherds only. Line of pseudo-tvaerstik below rim, band of verticals in upper zone, lower zone filled with defined panels of alternating motifs, multiple zigzag, verticals and zipper. Between upper and lower zones and at base of the neck are straight grooved lines. Four small lugs span the junction of the neck and shoulder. Horizon 3.
112. Incomplete. Four small lugs at base of neck. Tiefstich.
Horizon blocks below rim, alternating horizontal blocks and multiple arcs on neck, line at junction of neck and body, and alternating long, vertical and short horizontal blocks on body. Horizon 4.

Lugged bowls
113. Almost complete profile. Horizontally pierced, decorated lugs within upper decorative zone. Upper zone defined by zigzag but otherwise ‘empty’. Lower zone includes panels with zigzag and verticals. Internal rim decoration of single zigzag line is unusual, but not chronologically significant. Horizon 2.
114. Two rim sherds showing verticals between lines of zigzag. Horizon 3.
117. Almost complete profile. Horizontally pierced lugs with upper decorative zone. Upper zone of incipient groups of verticals between lines of hartstempel motif. Lower zone also shows incipient spacing of verticals within the panels and zigzags. Some inlay visible. Horizon 3.
118. Incomplete. Horizontally pierced, decorated lug at junction of upper and lower zones. Upper zone of verticals between horizontal lines of ‘X’ (crude skating) motif. Lower zone of panels of verticals interspersed with groups of zigzag motif. Lug is decorated with vertical chevron and ladder. Horizon 3.

119. Complete profile. No horizontal line below rim; zone of vertical lines above zigzag line. Lower zone of alternating undefined panels of verticals and zigzags. Late Horizon 2, or, more likely, early Horizon 3 – incomplete upper zone; panel decoration compares well with that on handle of late Horizon 2 jug No. 238.
120. Almost complete profile. Unperforated lug within upper zone. Zigzag line below rim; upper zone of verticals separated from lower zone by small gap. Lower zone of alternating groups of long and short verticals. Absence of zigzag in lower zone is unusual. Horizon 3.
121. Complete profile. Double line of zigzag below rim. Upper zone of verticals. Upper and lower zones and panels in lower zone separated by broad Tiefstich line. Lower zone of alternating panels of multiple zigzags and verticals. Verticals are pendant from a single line ofzigzag giving a ‘Y’ effect. Late Horizon 3 – vertical lines are well spaced within panel.
122. Almost complete profile. Unperforated, decorated lugs with upper decorative zone. Double zigzag line below rim; upper zone of verticals. Upper and lower zones and panels of lower zone separated by broad line. Lower zone of panels of verticals and zigzags. Horizon 3.
123. Incomplete. Single line of zigzag related motif below rim. Upper zone of verticals separated from lower zone by broad, straight line also used to define panels of zigzag from verticals making up lower zone decoration. Horizon 3.
124. Fragmentary. Double zigzag line below rim. Upper zone of verticals. Upper and lower zones are probably separated by zigzag line. Lower zone includes some zipper motif. Apart from the lugged beaker, No. 111, this is the only example of zipper motif in the Glimmen assemblage. It is not certain that the rim and body sherds represent a single pot. Horizon 3?
125. Incomplete. Band of vertical lines below rim. May have had zigzag line beneath. Horizon 3?
126. Incomplete. Maggot zigzag above zone of vertical lines. This is the only example of this decorative technique in the Glimmen assemblage. Maggot impressions belong chiefly to Horizons 1 and 2. The apparently relatively narrow zigzag panels together with the maggot impressions may indicate late Horizon 2.
127. Incomplete. Horizontal, decorated unpierced lugs on lower border of upper zone. Decoration is executed almost entirely in tvaerstik. 3 horizontal lines below rim and above very narrow band of short vertical stabs above fourth line. Lower zone consists of widely spaced panels of ‘Y’ motif, those below the lugs being bordered by single vertical tvaerstik lines. Lugs are decorated with simple vertical lines. Horizon 4.
128. Almost complete profile. Bowl with small, low, unperforated lugs. Tvaerstik. 3 lines below rim, zone of short,
verticals above zone of narrow spineless herringbone interrupted by 4 lugs. Below this, decoration breaks into vertical panels of chevron and tvaerstik below the lugs and short horizontal panels in between. Extensive traces of paste inlay preserved. Horizon 4.

Pails

129. Incomplete. Horizontally perforated lugs astride upper and lower zones. Upper and lower zones and panels of lower zone are separated by broad straight lines. Line of skating motif below rim. Upper zone is filled with verticals, lower with alternating panels of verticals and zigzag. Vertical line on lug. Horizon 3.

130. Complete profile. Horizontally perforated lug at junction of upper and lower zones. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. Upper and lower zones are separated by broad straight line. Similar lines divide both zones into panels. Horizontal lines of tvaerstik; remainder of decoration, Tiefstich. Upper zone of band of verticals, lower zone with alternating panels of vertical lines beneath two horizontal lines of tvaerstik and zigzags. Lugs are decorated with single vertical lines. Service set with tureen No. 129. Horizon 3.


132. Incomplete. Fairly straight-walled bowl with at least 2 horizontally pierced plugs. Tvaerstik. 3 lines below rim, empty zone, followed by at least 3 more lines. Lugs, of which one is preserved and portion of second, occur along the lower band of lines and are decorated with simple vertical lines. Chevron panel below lugs. Horizon 4.

133. Complete. Straight-sided pail with four horizontal pinched out lugs and six pressed out feet. One or two horizontal Tiefstich lines below rim; panels of close-set vertical Tiefstich ending in 'fringe'. Horizon 6.

Non-lugged decorated bowls


136. Almost complete profile. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 2 discontinuous lines of tvaerstik below rim, upper zone of grouped vertical lines, separated from lower zone of grouped verticals by horizontal line. Late Horizon 3?

137. Incomplete. Tiefstich. Two discontinuous lines below rim, alternating panels of verticals, crude zigzags and crude zipper (?) motif. Late Horizon 3?

138. Incomplete. Large, coarse bowl. Tiefstich. 3 discontinuous lines below rim, alternating panels of single, pointed arcs and verticals above three more horizontal lines from which hang pendant groups of 3 verticals alternating with paired verticals. Late Horizon 3?

139. 140. Two similar bowls, one larger than the other. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 3 lines of tvaerstik below rim, zone of short vertical lines above panels of longer vertical lines. Horizon 4.


142. Almost complete profile. Tvaerstik. 4 lines below rim, panels of four verticals on body, finger nail impressions around base. Horizon 4.

143. Complete profile. Small bowl. Tiefstich. 2 lines below rim, pendant panels of three and four verticals. Small footing. Horizon 4?

144. Incomplete. Tiefstich. 4 lines below rim, vertical panels on body. Horizon 4?


147. Incomplete. Tiefstich. 3 lines below rim, alternating panels of verticals and horizontal zigzags. Horizon 4?

148. Almost complete profile. Small, crude bowl. Tiefstich. Single line below rim followed by alternating panels of two long horizontal and three short vertical lines. Horizon 4?

149. Incomplete. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 3 lines of tvaerstik below rim and above alternating panels of 'Y' motif and 2 lines of horizontal tvaerstik. Below the latter are panels of 'Y' motif. Horizon 4.


152. Almost complete profile. Tvaerstik. 3 lines below rim, followed by zone of horizontal blocks, with lower zone of alternating long and short blocks. Traces of paste inlay visible. Horizon 4.

153. Incomplete. Fairly straight-walled bowl. Tvaerstik. 4 continuous and 2 discontinuous lines below rim; large, double line of zigzag with pendant spaced blocks of verticals, possibly terminating at base. Horizon 4.

154. Almost complete profile. Tiefstich. 3 lines below rim, 3 horizontal blocks immediately below these, followed by 2 zones of alternating long and short, vertical blocks. Some paste inlay visible. Horizon 4.


156. Incomplete. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 2-3 lines below rim, alternating verticals and 'M' type motif. Horizon 4.


160. Incomplete. Tvaerstik. 3 lines below rim, line of lozenge motif, alternating blocks of wide inverted multiple arcs and horizontal lines. Horizon 4.


162. Fragmentary. Tvaerstik. 4 lines beneath rim, alternating vertical and horizontal blocks below. Trace of paste inlay visible. Late Horizon 4.

163. Fragmentary. Tiefstich lines below rim.

164. Fragmentary. Bowl with tvaerstik below rim.

165. Fragmentary. Thick-walled bowl with 2 lines of tvaerstik below rim. Band of verticals?

166. Complete profile. Large bowl with footing. Broad Tiefstich. 4 lines below rim, panels of zigzag above and separated from panels of verticals terminating at base of horizontal zigzag. Groups of short verticals on footing. Late Horizon 4 or early Horizon 5.

167. Almost complete profile. Large bowl. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 4 lines below rim, with line of skating motif immediately below. 3 lines of large zigzag, above vertical blocks of alternating Tiefstich and tvaerstik. No sherds of base present but it is very likely that this bowl had a footing. Late Horizon 4 or early Horizon 5.

168. Complete profile. Large bowl. Tiefstich and tvaerstik. 3 lines Tiefstich below rim, triple line of zigzag above vertical blocks of alternating lines of Tiefstich and tvaerstik. Vertical stabs on footing. Late Horizon 4 or early Horizon 5.

169. Fragmentary. Tiefstich. 3 lines below rim; blocks below. Horizon 5.


**Non-lugged undecorated bowls**


177. Incomplete. Undecorated bowl.


180. Complete profile of thick-walled bowl. Original perforation in the centre of base.

181. Almost complete profile of bowl of friable, gritty fabric. Lower part and base of large dish/bowl. Complete bowl similar to No. 181 but less friable.

182. Complete profile of bowl. Similar to No. 181 but less friable.

183. Complete profile of bowl. Similar to No. 181 but less friable.

184. Complete profile of bowl. Similar to No. 181 but less friable.

185. Complete profile of bowl. Similar to No. 181 but less friable.


189. Almost complete profile. Bowl.


191. Incomplete bowl. Similar to No. 190 but smaller.


196. Incomplete thin walled, uneven surfaced bowl with medium-sized grits.

197. Almost complete profile of heavy-walled bowl. Similar to No. 202 but with sandy fabric.

198. Complete. Single find from outside the chamber.

199. Complete. Single find from outside the chamber.


201. Base of open thick-walled dish.


203. Incomplete small bowl.

204. Almost complete profile of small, crude bowl.

205. Incomplete very small bowl with incurving rim.

206. Incomplete small bowl.

207. Incomplete small bowl.

208. Incomplete small, smoothly finished bowl.

209. Incomplete bowl.


211. Incomplete small bowl.

212. Incomplete small bowl.

**Necked bowls**


214a, b. Two shoulder sherds, from one or two shouldered bowls with blocks of small stabs on shoulder. Horizon 7.

215, 216. Incomplete. Two bowls with fairly long neck and rounded shoulder. Short blocks of vertical zigzag on shoulder. On No. 216 these are relatively deeply impressed, on No. 215 lightly scored. Horizon 7.


220. Incomplete. Fairly short neck and body curving in from base of neck; this junction is emphasised by low, slashed cordon. Slightly coarse fabric in comparison with some of the bowls in this series. Horizon 7.


223. Incomplete. Short neck, body curving in from base of neck; this junction is marked at intervals by a low, slashed cordon. Horizon 7.


227. Incomplete. Short, slightly curved neck and small but clearly profiled shoulder with short lengths of slashed cordon most of which has fallen off. Horizon 7.

228. Fragmentary. Short neck, slight shoulder; junction of which is marked by grooved line. Horizon 7.


**Cordoned bowls**

236. Almost complete. Large, shallow, thick-walled dish with slashed cordons at intervals close to rim, and slashed base. Smoothed walls. Horizon 7.


**Jugs**

238. Complete profile. Jug of coarse fabric with slightly curved shoulder. Zigzag line below rim, otherwise plain neck, alternating panels of verticals and zigzag on shoulder and handle. Late Horizon 2. Earliest Horizon on site, also represented by Nos 113, 126, 239, 240.


**Tweens**


243. Fragmentary. Shoulder sherds of a tureen with a sharply angled profile and shoulder decorated with panels of hurdle motif, zigzag and vertical lines. Horizon 3.

244. Incomplete. Zigzag line below rim with groups of vertical
lines on neck, possibly in vicinity of handle. Triangles pendant from broad straight line on shoulder. Horizon 3.

245. Incomplete. 3 lines of zigzag below rim, groups of verticals on either side of handle, filled triangles pendant from broad straight line on the shoulder. Handle is decorated with zigzag. Horizon 3.


247. Complete profile. Spouted tureen. Two lines of large zigzag below rim and third on shoulder. Groups of short verticals from shoulder onto body. Inverted arc motif below position of handle. Horizon 3?

248. Incomplete. Small, finely made tureen. Skating motif below rim, spaced panels of three vertical lines on neck, possibly only in vicinity of handle. Broad straight line at base of neck and handle pattern on shoulder. Horizon 3.

249. Incomplete. Conical neck, long, slightly curved shoulder and neck. Tvaerslik. 3 horizontal blocks below rim, ares an neck, and horizontal lines running over shoulder onto body in vicinity of (absent) handle. Decorated panel below handle. Service set with funnel beaker No. 57. Horizon 3.

250. Incomplete. Conical neck and rounded shoulder. Everted rim tip. Position of handle shown by negative. Decoration is carefully executed throughout. Band of herringbone below rim, small rusticated triangles pendant from a straight line on shoulder except in immediate vicinity of handle. At regular intervals along shoulder and descending onto body are panels of vertical chevrons and vertical lines pendant from zigzag, the number of verticals incorporated in the design increasing from one to three with distance from handle. Below handle the panel is flat. Horizon 3.

251. Incomplete. Conical neck and short, rounded shoulder. Line of hartsstempel beneath rim and above groups of finely incised inverted pointed multiple arcs. Filled triangles pendant from line on shoulder and vertical lines on either side of handle. Handle is also decorated with vertical lines. Horizon 3.

252. Incomplete. Slightly flaring neck and short rounded shoulder. Tvaerslik. Two lines below rim. Inverted arcs on neck rim, scattered groups of vertical zigzags on neck, filled triangles pendant from straight line on shoulder with groups of vertical lines running over shoulder onto body in vicinity of (absent) handle. Decorated panel below handle. service set with funnel beaker No. 57. Horizon 3.

253. Incomplete. Sharp shoulder. Tvaerslik. At least 3 lines beneath rim and some vertical lines on neck. Triangles on shoulder and vertical lines of doublestamp on body. Horizon 3.


257. Almost complete profile. Slightly conical neck and short, rounded shoulder decorated with tvaerslik and quatrefoil impressions. Blocks of three horizontal tvaerslik lines below rim, small filled triangles pendant from straight line on shoulder and panels of vertical tvaerslik pendant from zigzag and quatrefoil impressions. Horizon 3/4.

258. Almost complete profile. Conical neck and short sloping shoulder. Tiefslich and Tvaerslik. 3 lines of tvaerslik below rim, narrow blocks of 2 horizontal lines of tvaerslik on neck, filled triangles pendant from straight line on shoulder and panels of Tiefslich vertical lines and vertical chevrons descending from shoulder, similar to No. 250. Probable handle bears single line of Tiefslich. Horizon 3.


260. Incomplete. Conical neck and very short, rounded shoulder. Tvaerslik. 3 lines below rim covering most of neck, line of Tiefslich at base of neck with pendant stab impressions on shoulder. Horizon 4.

261. Incomplete. Short, rounded shoulder. Tvaerslik. 3 lines below rim, lozenge motif on neck, stab marks at junction of neck and shoulder, lozenge motif on upper body. Some paste inlay visible. Horizon 4.


263. Almost complete profile. Large, thick-walled tureen. Slightly conical neck, short, sloping shoulder. Alternating blocks of vertical chevron and horizontal lines on neck, short verticals on shoulder, and in immediate vicinity of base (which is missing). Below handle is long panel of decoration similar to the vertical neck panels. Horizon 4.


264b. Fragment. Body sherd with decoration similar to No. 264a but different fabric.


266. Almost complete profile. Fairly long neck and very short shoulder. Very deep Tiefslich. 4 lines below rim, multiple arcs on neck, very deep line along shoulder and blocks of verticals on body. Multiple arc below handle. Traces of paste inlay visible. Horizon 4.


268. Incomplete. Conical neck and short, rounded shoulder. Tvaerslik. 3 lines below rim, multiple arcs and short lines on neck, line at base of neck, multiple arcs and vertical lines (in vicinity of the handle) from shoulder onto body. Horizon 4.


270. 271. Two near identical teutens, one complete profile (No. 271). Fairly high cylindrical necks, very small shoulder, and footing base. Horizontal blocks below rim, arcs on neck, line at base of shoulder and short vertical lines running over shoulder on either side of handle. Multiple arc motif on handle and largish multiple arc below. Service set. Horizon 4.

272. Incomplete. Very short, rounded shoulder. Tvaerslik. 3 lines below rim, multiple arcs on neck, line at base of neck and vertical Tiefslich lines running over shoulder onto body. Vertical lines on lower half of handle. Horizon 4.


276, 277. Incomplete. 2 almost identical tureens with high, slightly conical necks and almost unmarked shoulders. 3 lines below rim, groups of 3 (No. 276) or 4 (No. 277) arcs on neck. Shoulder is marked by horizontal line below which are scattered groups of small figure-of-eight motif. Arcs below lug-like handles. No. 276 is slightly larger than No. 277. Both vessels were found together. Horizon 4.


*Tureen-amphora* (see also Nos 320-325)


*Amphorae, miscellaneous*


*Amphorae type 1*


303. Incomplete. Fine *Tie/sic*. 2 lines below rim with pendant fringe, line at base of neck with pendant fringe, double zigzag along shoulder, vertical blocks on body. Horizon 5.

304. Incomplete. Cylindrical neck and long, rounded shoulder. *Tie/sic* and *vaersvik*. 3 lines of *vaersvik* below rim, lozenge motif on neck, non-reconstructable pattern on shoulder and line of *vaersvik* above lozenge on upper body. Arcs on handle. Might in fact be a tureen instead of amphora. Horizon 4.


308. Incomplete. Small, thin-walled amphora with at least two lugs spanning shoulder. Multiple zigzag on neck, fringe on shoulder, alternating blocks of multiple zigzag and verticals on body. Undecorated lugs. Horizon 5.

309. Incomplete. Small, thin-walled amphora with at least 2 lugs. 3 lines below rim, zigzag on neck, alternating blocks of zigzag and verticals on body. Vertical lines on lugs. Horizon 5.


314. Incomplete. Small, thin-walled neck of amphora. 3 lines
below rim, double zigzag on neck. Horizon 5.
315. Fragment. Lug from amphora not otherwise recognized.
318. Almost complete profile of vessel with short, cylindrical neck and round body. 2 horizontally pierced lugs at junction of neck and body, line of pointed Tiefstich just below rim.
319. Incomplete vessel with sloping shoulder and rounded body. Hairy horizontally pierced large lug(s) at junction of neck and shoulder. Undecorated.

Tureen-amphorae (see also No. 282)
321. Incomplete. Tiefstich. 4 lines below rim, blocks of close-set, multiple zigzags on neck, line at base of neck with pendant fringe. Very slight traces of paste inlay visible. Horizon 5.
325. Incomplete. Similar in shape to Nos 323 and 324. Low unpierced bosses in place of lugs. Undecorated. Horizon 5?

Shouldered lugged and non-lugged vases
326. Incomplete small bowl. Slight, conical neck and single, horizontally perforated lug.
327. Incomplete bowl with lugs. Similar to No. 326.
328. Incomplete undecorated funnel beaker-like vessel. 2 pairs of horizontally perforated lugs on neck.
329. Incomplete small vessel. Flaring rim and at least one small lug at base of neck.
330. Incomplete small vessel. Flaring neck and horizontally pierced lug on neck.
331a-e. Sherds with small, horizontally pierced lugs, from vessels not otherwise identified.
332. Fragments of vessel. Similar to Nos 342-346.
333. Incomplete small funnel beaker-like vessel.
334. Incomplete small, thin-walled vessel.
335. Incomplete small vessel.
336. Fragment of small funnel beaker-like vessel.
337. Incomplete vessel. Slightly flaring neck, long shoulder. No body sherds recognized but break at end of neck suggests sharply angled body.
338. Incomplete small, funnel beaker-like vessel.
339. Incomplete small, funnel beaker-like vessel.
340. Incomplete small vessel.
341. Incomplete funnel beaker with flaring rim and ledge-like shoulder.
342. Incomplete vessel with short, cylindrical neck, sloping shoulder and high body. Two lugs at base of neck.
343. Incomplete vessel with short, slightly flaring neck and long sloping shoulder. Probably lugged although none recognized.
344. Incomplete undecorated tureen-like vessel.
345. Incomplete vessel. Slightly flaring neck with lug at junction of neck and shoulder.
346. Incomplete vessel with short neck and round body. 2 lugs spanning junction of neck and shoulder. Heavy fabric.
347. Lower portion of vessel.

Collared flasks
350. Incomplete. Wide throat with broad collar less than half way down neck and flat shoulder.
351. Incomplete. Decorated collared flask with biconical body. 4 horizontal lines at base of neck, alternating blocks of short and long verticals on shoulder.
353. Incomplete. Shoulder of undecorated, biconical body.
355. Incomplete. Shoulder of undecorated, biconical body. 8 assorted sherds of collared flasks apparently not represented in the above list, 1 collar only illustrated.
356. Almost complete profile. Short neck with throat and long biconical shoulder and body.
357. Incomplete. Spherical body.
358. Incomplete. Spherical body. Fairly wide throat with collar midway between rim and base of neck.
359. Incomplete. Narrow throat, biconical body.

Biberons

Miscellaneous
362. Incomplete. Flat shoulder. Highly burnished, black, leathery finish.
363. Incomplete jug with spout formed by pressing out rim. The profile is not unlike some of the more uncouth funnel beakers.

Featured sherds
30 complete bases, including 7 decorated, 8 low foot rings, 1 protruding foot, and 1 perforated base. In addition to these there are 355 fairly complete bases and 41 sherds of bases. 30 decorated rims apparently representing vessels other than those described in the foregoing catalogue, and 20 decorated body sherds are also illustrated. Approximately 3000 undecorated and, for the most part, featureless sherds could not be assigned to specific pots and are not illustrated. Although as a percentage of the total number of sherds this appears quite high, many of these sherds are extremely small fragments.

3.6. Post TRB finds
The sherds of an EGK amphora of Strichbündel type (No. 364) found in the chamber area probably represent the end of continuous activity, dating to about 2900-2800 cal BC. Amphorae of this type occur sporadically in hunebeds; a similar find was made in the nearby hunebed G3 (Brindley, 1983: fig. 7). Two smaller EGK amphorae may have been present (Nos 365-366). The number of fragments is too small, however, to allow for reconstruction of shape and decoration.

Fragments of three Bell Beakers were found. Two of these (Nos 367-368) are well-made classical examples of Maritime Bell Beakers, which can be dated to about 2500 cal BC. The third one (No. 369) with simple linear comb ornament is a local type, and probably slightly younger.

The sherd of a kogelpot (No. 370) which was found in the extraction pit of a side stone is of the type current during the late 10th and 11th centuries
AD. This fragment almost certainly dates the destruction of the hunebed.

4. ANALYSIS OF WHITE FILLING

Traces of white filling remained in the Tiefstich and tvaerstik lines on many sherds. Originally this must have given the pottery a highly ornamented and dramatic appearance, contrasting with the usually dark colour of the fabric. This filling must have disguised, in many cases, the precise nature of the Tiefstich/taerstik technique. The definition of tvaerstik has been given as decoration executed along a guide line (Bakker, 1979: p. 179). This should perhaps be amended to decoration along a guideline which itself is a dominant visual aspect of the filled decoration. Some of the variations which occur in Tiefstich lines during Horizon 3 are executed along a guideline, and in some cases the end result must have resembled tvaerstik (compare Nos 251, 255). Similarly some of the close-set tvaerstik lines when filled resembled Tiefstich lines (Nos 270, 282). The depth of the impressions, while creating a particular effect when empty, is not noticeable when filled. Contrasting width and outline of stylus heads are more important.

Analysis of the white filling of Tiefstich/taerstik impressions from six pots (5 from G2 and 1 from Bronneger D21 for comparative purposes) was carried out by Peter Zijlstra, a student at the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Groningen. The material was examined by means of X-ray diffraction, using a Guinier-HÃ¤gg camera. The samples were taken from pots G2:127, 128, 136, 142 and 154, and from Bronneger 1918/X.31** (collection B.A.1.). All six samples yielded the same result: hydroxy-apatite, or Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH. This is the main mineral in bone. A recent study by Shipman, Foster and Schoeniger (1984) has shown that after heating to 600°C or more, the crystal size of hydroxy-apatite increases, and that the lines of the X-ray diffraction pattern become sharp and well-defined. All six samples showed these sharp lines. Therefore the filling consisted of a paste of bone, burnt to 600°C or more. It is very likely that burnt bone was used, because this is more easily crushed and because fresh bone would have shrunken during the firing process and fallen out.

5. DISCUSSION OF POTTERY BY TYPE

5.1. Summary of typochronology used in this paper.

A series of horizons within the pottery sequence are proposed on the basis of the material from Glimmen G2 and a general survey of other material. These are discussed in detail elsewhere (Brindley, 1986). A short summary only is given.

Horizon 1: lugged or perforated bowls, round bodied jugs, round bodied funnel beakers, round bodied lugged beakers, collared flasks. Some, chiefly horizontal, elements immediately below the rim, vertical strip decoration immediately below this.

Horizon 2: lugged bowls/pails, slightly more angular jugs and possibly lugged beakers, funnel beakers, collared flasks, biberons. Horizontal, narrow band immediately below rim. Vertical strips broaden throughout horizon and are interspersed with vertical lines. Strips on shoulders broaden into panels, and are occasionally replaced by triangles.

Horizon 3: lugged bowls/pails, tureens, lugged beakers, funnel beakers, collared flasks, biberons. Broad, well-defined horizontal zone below rim. Broad strips and well-defined panels on body. Shoulders frequently include triangles in place of panels.

Horizon 4: bowls/pails, tureens, amphorae type 1, funnel beakers, lugged beakers, collared flasks, biberons. Upper zone loses its vertical element, which may be replaced by multiple arcs, large zigzag, or horizontal blocks. Alternating blocks replace panels of the lower body. Shoulders disappear as an area of specific decoration.

Horizon 5: bowls, tureen-amphorae, amphorae type 2, a small number of funnel beakers, funnel beaker cups, collared flasks. Two regional styles identified. Heek-Emmeln style, chiefly in the Emsland-Westphalia area, is characterized by bands of large zigzag and horizontal blocks incorporating up to 13 lines; Uddelermeer-Anlo style, predominating in Northern and Central Netherlands and characterized by small close-set zigzag in bands or blocks, and blocks of Tiefstich and of hollow stamp.

Horizon 6: bowls, necked bowls, tureen-amphorae, funnel beaker cups, collared flasks. Neck is usually contracted, and decoration consists of continuous bands or oblong blocks of zigzag or related motifs, or horizontal lines. Blocks or bands on shoulder become very narrow and restricted to uppermost part only.


5.2. Funnel beakers and funnel beaker cups

5.2.1. Funnel beakers (Nos 1-102)

About 102 funnel beakers were identified. All of these have necks which flare to a greater (e.g. Nos 12, 57) or lesser extent (e.g. No. 45) and bodies with either sharp (e.g. Nos 16, 58) or rounded, high
shoulders (e.g. Nos 2, 4) or, occasionally, more spherical bodies (e.g. No. 1). About seventy-two have decoration of some form, most frequently on the body but extending onto the neck (although never on the neck alone). Apart from four examples of tværstik impressions (Nos 20, 23, 31a, 51, but possibly also Nos 24, 63, 68), the decoration is in Tiefschich.

Neck decoration consists chiefly of zigzags, single or multiple, usually below the rim (Nos 49-52) or at the base of the neck (Nos 53-63), or as large, multiple zigzags covering most of the neck (Nos 46-48). There are also three instances of multiple arcs (Nos 65-67). Decorated necks are always associated with short, highshouldered bodies. A horizontal line (or lines) at the base of the neck is present on about 50% of the decorated beakers; occasionally this is the only form of decoration on the vessel (e.g. No. 69, a good parallel for this vessel is Emmeln No. 114 (Schlicht, 1968)). Body decoration almost always consists of multiple vertical lines (exception, No. 68 has horizontally disposed stabs on an unusually long shoulder), as a short fringe (Nos 43, 49), extending halfway down the body (Nos 56, 61), or to the base (No. 15). These lines may be grouped in alternating blocks of long and short lines (Nos 37-39) or with blank spaces (Nos 40, 44, 67).

The range of funnel beakers in use by Horizon 2 is well-documented in the assemblages of Zeijen, Diever and Hooghalen (Bakker, 1979: figs B3-5, B12-15). The funnel beakers from these sites show vertical and flaring necks, angular and rounded bodies, high, short and long shoulders and varied, although chiefly vertical, linear decoration on the bodies, all occurring side by side. Funnel beakers of these types remained in use until Horizon 5. The G2 funnel beakers with only these characteristics cannot therefore be precisely dated. However, necks which are less frequently decorated, are sometimes a more reliable indicator of date. Multiple arcs occur on the necks of Nos 65-67. This motif belongs primarily to Horizon 4, to which these funnel beakers probably belong. A more pointed form of multiple arc occurs during Horizon 3. The larger, usually multiple, zigzag which covers the main part of the neck (Nos 45-48) is a decorative motif of Horizons 4 and 5; it appears on late tureens, tureen-amphorae and bowls of Horizon 4 (Zuidwolde-Ekelberg, Mesum: Bakker, 1979: figs B16-18; Sleen-Kruidhaarsveld: Van Giffen, 1937: fig. 5) and of Horizon 5 (Heek-Emmel Style, e.g. Wechte Nos 193-196). Another form of zigzag which occurs on the necks of funnel beakers (Nos 52, 61) also occurs on amphorae type 2 of Horizon 5 (Uddelermeer-Anlo Style). These funnel beakers are therefore the very last of the series of true funnel beakers. Zigzags immediately below the rim are not closely datable unless associated with other distinguishing motifs e.g. groups of vertical lines (Bonneger: Knöll, 1959: 28:3), which are a feature of Horizon 3 decoration. These zigzags by themselves are also known to occur during Horizon 4. Examples are known from Horizon 4 contexts (Landersum: Bakker, 1979: fig. 15:3a).
5.2.2. Funnel beaker cups (Nos 103-110)

In his discussion of funnel beakers, Bakker (1979: p. 54) includes small, single lugged, 'cup-like' vessels similar Nos 103-109 of this text at the end of the funnel beaker series, dating them to his phase E2 and describing them as "seems to be only a vague shadow of the earlier funnel beaker". As no suitable term has been given to these vessels, I have adopted the term 'funnel beaker cups'. There are at least seven of these cups at G2 while three small, horizontal lugs (Nos 110a-c) suggest that there were originally about ten. In all cases, the constriction between neck and the practically shoulderless body is very slight. A single small thumbshaped horizontal lug or, in one case, a small boss (No. 107), is usually present above the shoulder and the bases normally have a low standing.

Funnel beaker cups are fairly well associated with material of the later TRB phases. At G2 itself, one of these cups (No. 103) was found together with a Horizon 6 pail (No. 133) in a pit which also produced datable charcoal (4380±40 BP, GrN-6156). Funnel beaker cups form an integral part of several important late TRB assemblages (Beekhuizerzand: Modderman et al., 1976: fig. 6, pp. 3-5; Angelslo, Spier and Allardsoog: Bakker & Van der Waals, 1973: figs 5, 10). The first of these indicates that funnel beaker cups date from early in Horizon 5, the other shows a broader, more bowl-like variant of the same type in use during the latest stage of the TRB, Horizon 7; the Glimmen cup with its Horizon 6 association falls neatly between the two. The rather crude and shapeless funnel beaker (No. 102) may possibly point in the typological direction from which these funnel beaker cups originated.

5.3. Lugged beakers (Nos 111-112)

These vessels never occur in large numbers. The earlier of the two, No. 111, is represented only by some finely decorated neck sherds. It provides an excellent parallel for the small lugged beakers from Exloo D30 (Brindley, 1986: fig. 5). These lugged beakers are absolutely typical for Horizon 3. The second lugged beaker (No. 112) is well-preserved and almost complete, closely resembling examples from Emmeln (Schlicht, 1968: No. 51) and Gross Berssen (Schlicht, 1972: Nos 88, 131, 160). It belongs to Horizon 4.

See also under Amphorae for remarks on the similarity of early amphorae to lugged beakers.

5.4. Lugged bowls (dishes and shallow bowls Nos 113-128, pails Nos 129-133)

No. 113 is unusual in several ways – the flaring upper portion of the profile, the absence of vertical lines between the two lines of zigzag on the outer rim surface and the zigzag line on the inner rim surface. Parallels for this last occur at Noordlaren (Bakker, 1982/83: fig. 23:52), Wulften, Kr. Osnabrück (Knöll, 1959: 16:13), Gross Berssen (Schlicht, 1972: No. 21), Emmeln (Schlicht, 1968: No. 176), Drouwen D26 (unpublished, excavation Bakker), and an unprovenanced example in the collections of the B.A.I. which is possibly from Bronneger D21/-D22. It has no chronological significance. This bowl is the earliest bowl in the assemblage. Its narrow horizontal band below the rim and the relatively narrow panels beneath may be compared with the decorative style of Horizon 2 material. The bowls Nos 114-126 and pails Nos 129-131 form a very homogenous group in terms of decoration, shape and style and all fall within the general definition of Horizon 3. As a group they indicate some of the difficulties of the Bakker system of phases. Few of the individual bowls are assignable to specific phases which are based on a typology of two pot forms – shoulderless lugged and non- lugged bowls on the one hand and jugs/tureens/amphorae on the other (Bakker, 1979: pp. 64-66). In terms of bowls, the phases are rather scantily outlined, with the use of true zigzag as a main defining characteristic of Phase B leading to the exclusion of many bowls on which the zigzag lines have been replaced by similar but alternative motifs or techniques (e.g. Nos 114-121) but which are otherwise identical. Similarly, the definition of Phase C bowls is unsatisfactory, being based on a change in a single decorative element – the replacement of the zigzag line(s) at the base of the upper zone by a broad, Tiefstich line and by two trends, the breaking of the lines immediately below the rim into short lengths and the grouping of verticals within the upper zone. Of these two trends, in fact the former is very rarely found without tvaersstik (i.e. Bakker’s D1) ornamentation while the latter occurs only sporadically. Continuous lines below the rim and bands of continuous vertical lines occur commonly on Phase D1 bowls. The replacement of the lower zigzag line is most striking when it is replaced by a broad grooved or Tiefstich line and especially when this occurs in conjunction with a similar partitioning of the vertical patterns of the lower zone and the spacing of motifs within the resulting panels. Occasionally, the partition lines may even penetrate the upper zone as well, as the pail No. 130 shows, thus constituting a fine and well-organized decoration, but this is comparatively rare and cannot be regarded as chronologically important. A second difficulty with the application of Phases B and C as defined, is the number of bowls which lack some small decorative element and therefore do not fit into any of the given phases. According to Bakker’s definitions, Nos 119, 120 and 125 are incompletely decorated. But such
decorative schemes are not uncommon and are best treated as variants within the style.

Examination of material in the collections of the I.P.P. and the B.A.I. and the published inventories of Wechte (Knöll, 1983), Emmeln and Gross Bersen (Schlicht, 1968; 1972) show that many bowls and pails are not assignable directly to Bakker’s phases and that a mixing of phase-defining elements occurs quite often, e.g. a combination of Phase B zigzag and Phase D *tværstik* has been noted at Mander 02 (collection O.K.T.), Bronnegger D21/22 (collection B.A.I.), and at D43a (information J. Molema). In brief, Nos 114-126 and 128-131 form a homogenous group which should be considered as such.

Pails are the most poorly represented class at Glimmen G2. No. 130 is a particularly well-made and decorated example, belonging to the last stage of Horizon 3. It forms a service set together with tureen No. 259. The distribution of sherds of both vessels indicate that they were deposited together (fig. 9).

Nos 127-128 in both profile and decoration are clearly hybrid lugged/non-lugged bowls. The layout of decoration is dictated by the presence of lugs and, like Nos 114-126, consists of a horizontal zone below the rim including a band of (now contracted) verticals separated from a lower zone by a strongly marked horizontal line, with well-defined but narrow vertical panels of chevron related ornament below the lugs. Bowls of this type are well-known, good parallels occur at Landersum (Bakker, 1979: fig. B15) and Heek-Ammert Mark, Kr. Borken (information W. Finke) in well-associated contexts and at Emmeln and Gross Bersen (Schlicht, 1968; 1972). Typologically, such bowls are important as they show the breakdown of the panel decorated bowls of Horizon 3 moving into the block decorated bowls of Horizons 4 and 5. These bowls belong to Horizon 4.

No. 132 is part of a Horizon 4 pail, with horizontal zone below the rim and narrow panels of vertical chevron below the lugs. Pail No. 133 was found in a pit together with a funnel beaker cup (No. 103) and charcoal (see 2.5). It belongs to Horizon 6. No other material from this period has been identified at G2.

5.5. Non-lugged decorated bowls (Nos 134-175)

Approximately 40 bowls fall within this category; in addition, sherds of several other very fragmentary examples can be recognized. Large portions of many of the bowls can be reconstructed but only eleven complete profiles were obtained. Four of these have simple bases, and seven have footing bases.

No. 134 is a well-preserved small bowl probably of Horizon 3. Nos 135-138 appear to be late and poorly executed examples of Horizon 3 bowls. The fragmentary condition of all four make further comment unnecessary. The decoration on Nos 139-141 tends to be zonal, consisting of horizontal lines below the rim and above a band of short vertical elements (often lines). The bottom part of this zone still consists sometimes of horizontal line(s). The lower zone consists of vertical elements, chiefly lines, usually in simplified panels or blocks. This decorative scheme is essentially a simplified version of that occurring on the lugless bowls.

The decoration on Nos 142-152, 154, 155, 160-162 consists basically of an upper zone of lines which are usually horizontal and continuous, and one, or two, or three less well-defined zones on the lower body, most frequently made up of horizontal or vertical blocks but occasionally including other motifs. Bowls with decoration of this type occur in Horizon 4 in the assemblages of Landersum (early Horizon 4; Bakker, 1979: fig. B15) and Mesum (late Horizon 4; Bakker, 1979: B18). The lozenge element on bowls Nos 160-161 may indicate the first half of this horizon. Block pattern also occurs in both Horizon 5 styles.

At first sight the bowl No. 166 seems to combine the decorative layout of Horizon 3 bowls (a well-defined upper zone, terminating in a horizontal line, and a lower zone consisting of long panel-like blocks of lines) with small, closely set zigzag in horizontal blocks suggestive of the Uddelemaer-Anlo Style of Horizon 5. On closer inspection, however, it is clear that the lower vertical blocks are pendant from short lines of zigzag, and that there is no question of an upper zone terminating in a single zigzag line. This bowl may belong to late Horizon 4 or early Horizon 5. The bowls Nos 167-168 include large zigzag in the upper zone and some *tværstik* lines in the lower vertical blocks, suggesting late Horizon 4. Bowl No. 171 with multiple smaller zigzag lines belongs to the Heek-Emmel Style of Horizon 5. Bowl No. 172 with double small zigzag line represents the Uddelemaer-Anlo Style of this horizon. The poorly preserved bowls Nos 169 and 170 also belong to this style. Bowls occur in two service sets with identical fabric, decoration style and in the case of the bowls, shape (Nos 139 and 140; Nos 145 and 146, together with tureen No. 260).

The shoulderless bowls Nos 174-175 should be considered with the necked and cordoned bowls of Horizon 7.

5.6. Non-lugged undecorated bowls (Nos 176-212)

There is a minimum of thirty-seven undecorated bowls in this assemblage. With two exceptions (Nos 176, 201), only bowls which are reconstructable from the rim downwards are included in this group. Some of the reconstructed bases may also belong to
undecorated bowls, but the custom of decorating only the upper portion of funnel beakers, some bowls, tureens, jugs and amphorae makes it impossible to distinguish undecorated bowls from undecorated portions of otherwise decorated vessels.

The undecorated bowls show considerable variety in terms of fabric, finish, shape and size. No. 180 has a single, pre-firing perforation in its base. A similar but not quite so extensive collection of undecorated bowls was found at Emmeln (Schlicht, 1968: Nos 877-883, 901-902, 908-914, 916-921, 931-938, total 30). While the proportion of undecorated but recognizable diagnostic types increases during Horizons 6 and 7, undecorated bowls and other forms do occur earlier as the associated finds from several small assemblages and flat graves demonstrate (Diever 1, Hooghalen, Zeijen E: Bakker, 1979: figs B3, B4, B14; Schipborg: Waterbolk, 1958: fig. 2:7-9).

5.7. Necked and cordoned bowls

Horizon 7 is represented by c. 30 necked bowls, cordoned bowls and simple bowls (Nos 174-175). These are characterized by limited and restrained decoration.

5.7.1. Necked bowls (Nos 213-235)

There are about twenty-six bowls of this form in this group, eight of which are represented by single sherds only, and fourteen of which are decorated. The decoration is very sparse and always occurs at the top of the shoulder in three main forms – vertical slashes on a narrow, applied, sometimes discontinuous cordon, as panels of neat, discrete or joined and opposed slashes (Nos 215, 216), or as a horizontal line with pendant short strokes, sometimes in discontinuous portions. All these bowls belong to Horizon 7.

5.7.2. Cordoned bowls (Nos 236-237)

With the above, and also belonging to Horizon 7 should be considered the cordoned bowls Nos 236 and 237, and the shoulderless bowls Nos 174 and 175. The cordoned bowls seem to have developed out of the bowls with narrow, horizontal lugs with vertical lines of Horizon 6, which are not represented in G2.

5.8. Jugs and tureens

In general typological terms, tureens develop out of jugs. Both rounded and angular profiles occur during Horizon 2. Although the original rounded form disappears during Horizon 3, the range of profiles extends to include wide and angular shoul-

Ders. Horizon 4 tureens once more include both relatively wide forms but with small shoulders. In general, shape by itself is not a reliable indicator of horizon; in fact, it seems to have been dictated by the individual's choice of decorative scheme as much as by any other factor. However, ultimately, the tureen becomes indistinguishable from the tureen-amphora (see Amphorae).

5.8.1. Jugs (Nos 238-241)

The assemblage includes several vessels which are considered to be jugs. Nos 238 and 240 can be distinguished from the tureen series as a whole because of their rather coarse fabric and sparse decoration. No. 239 is probably a jug neck because of its general similarity to these two. The zigzag immediately below the rim is also occasionally found on early funnel beakers but in this case, the flat top of the rim and the lack of neck curvature suggest that it is more likely to be a jug. These jugs, together with dish No. 113 probably represent the earliest material on the site, Horizon 2.

5.8.2. Tureens (Nos 242-281)

Bakker (1979: p. 176) defines a tureen as having 'one lug'. At least one vessel included here as a tureen has two handles (No. 265). Two-handled tureens, although not common, are known and several were found at Emmeln (Schlicht, 1968: Nos 275, 370, 374, 392, 416).

A minimum of forty-one tureens was identified. In broad terms, they show a general trend from wide and angular to less prominently shouldered, deeper forms, but this trend is by no means absolute. In terms of decoration, on the neck there is a general movement away from a combination of horizontal and vertical elements, to chiefly horizontal elements, or to horizontal elements associated with multiple arcs. On the shoulders, triangles, pseudo-triangles and related shoulder decoration (e.g. broad, deep stabs), are replaced by a single, deeply intended horizontal line, frequently used to mark and sometimes almost to create the often practically non-existent shoulder (e.g. Nos 276, 277). Decoration below the shoulder and especially below the handle is common.

All but one of the recognized 'service sets' (see 2.6) include at least one tureen. These are listed in table 1 (including the non-tureen service set).

Tureens Nos 245 and 246 with relatively deep bodies belong to the earliest part of Horizon 3. The few lines of tvaerstik on each are at home in both Horizon 2 and 3 contexts. In addition, tureen No. 246 has a horizontal ladder on its handle, the best parallel for which occurs on the Horizon 2 Weerdinge jug, which also includes tvaerstik lines (Brindley, 1986: fig. 4:10). A similar feature occurs on the
hand of one of the tureens from Issendorf (Tempel, 1972: fig. 4). The tureen series as a whole is dominated by two motifs, shoulder triangles, usually associated with broader shoulders and strap handles, and multiple arcs on the necks, usually associated with small to virtually non-existent shoulders and small lugs. The two motifs are largely mutually exclusive (exceptions Nos 251, 252). In general, the shoulder triangle is the earlier motif, with a well-documented ancestry in the later stages of Horizon 2 (Brindley, 1986: fig. 4). It has a widespread distribution, occurring in a number of forms during Horizon 3. True and pseudo-triangles and shoulder stamps occur simultaneously as is demonstrated by the pseudo-triangles of No. 245 and the shoulder stamps of No. 246 which are arguably early within Horizon 3, and the true triangles of Nos 257-259 which belong to the end of the same horizon. Triangles are predominantly a Horizon 3 motif and do not seem to occur subsequently. The multiple arc motif is more difficult to assess. Tureens with this motif have hardly ever been found in flat graves or small assemblages, with the exception of Oldenburg-Donnerschew-Grambergs Gruende (Knöll, 1952: fig. 15:12, 15, 16). The motif rarely occurs on forms other than tureens. In some assemblages, a zigzag (sometimes multiple) is used as an alternative (both occur at Wechte: Knöll, 1983: Nos 144, 148, 161 resp. 100, 145; and Emmeln: Schlicht, 1968: Nos 227, 456, 457 resp. 371, 360, 859, and Emmen D43, collection R.M.O.L.). The multiple arc is by far the more popular at Glimmern G2; only one possible example of a very slack zigzag was noted (No. 264a). It has its ancestry on Horizon 3 tureens where it occasionally occurs in a pointed form (No. 251 has both pointed multiple arcs and shoulder triangles). It developed from single triangles at the base of the neck, examples of which are also known from early Horizon 3 (Seeste tureen: Bakker, 1979: fig. 31:1; D43 (72S); Emmeln: Schlicht, 1968: amphora No. 16). The origin of the zigzag is not clear. Tureen No. 10 from Gross Berssen (Schlicht, 1972) shows a zigzag together with pointed arcs on the neck, shoulder triangles and a broad handle. This is an early example of the larger zigzag motif, late Horizon 3/early Horizon 4. At Emmeln and Emmen D43 where both motifs occur, intermediary "W" and "M" motifs which look very like short lengths of zigzag are found (e.g. Emmen D43 76S, 108S; Emmeln: Schlicht, 1968: No. 217). These intermediary forms, however, are more likely to be due to mutual influence than to typological development of one from the other.

Shoulder triangles and neck multiple arcs seem in general to be mutually exclusive, probably for chronological reasons. Shoulder triangles do not occur on amphorae of any form, whereas the multiple zigzag and, although less frequently, the multiple arc are well known. This suggests that the shoulder triangle had fallen out of favour by the time these two decoration motifs emerged. Furthermore, there are indications that while tureens with multiple arcs/zigzag represent early Horizon 4 material, only multiple zigzags occur during the later stages of the horizon, and continue into Horizon 5.

Apart from multiple arcs, Horizon 4 tureens show two other main motifs – lozenge lines (Nos 261, 262, 265) and block patterns. Lozenges also occur frequently on bowls of the early part of this horizon (e.g. Heek-Ammerter Mark, Kr. Borken: information W. Finke; Emmeln: Schlicht, 1968: e.g. Nos 299, 307; Gross Berssen: Schlicht, 1972: No. 136). Very narrow horizontal blocks sometimes occur with shoulder triangles during Horizon 3 (Nos 258-259). These narrow blocks are probably the prototype for the block patterns which occur during Horizons 4 and 5, and which consist of more than two horizontal lines (i.e. 3-8 lines and up to 13 lines in some examples of the Heek-Emmeln Style), on shoulder and neck, on amphorae type 1 and tureen-amphorae, and on bowls. Block patterns may alternate with empty spaces, multiple arcs, or blocks of alternating vertical and horizontal lines.

5.9. Amphorae (Nos 282-325)

This group was defined by Bakker as 'amphorae (no lugs, two or more lugs, but shape is more important)' (1979: table 4). The shape is shown by two outlines, one of a large Phase F/Horizon 6 amphora and the second, a large vertical necked vessel with four small lugs at the base of the neck and an angular profile, with long shoulder equal in length to the depth of the body. Elsewhere in the text, amphorae are given as the pilot type of Phase E (Bakker, 1979: p. 70). This definition is meaningless. Amphorae occur in two typologically distinct forms (both of which normally have lugs or bosses) here renamed Amphora (types 1 and 2) and Tureen-amphora.

Amphora (types 1 and 2) consist of a cylindrical neck with angular (type 1, e.g. No. 288) or rounded (type 2, e.g. No. 300) body. The lugs usually occur at the junction of the neck and shoulder, but may occur on the shoulder itself (No. 295). The precise origin of type 1 amphorae is unclear. An undecorated example occurs in the late Horizon 2 assemblage of Hooghalen. Decorated examples occur sporadically during Horizon 3 (Angelslo No. 1966:411, unpublished, coll. P.M.D.), Emmeln No. 166 (Schlicht, 1968), Bottrop-Kirchhellen (Ausgrabungen und Funde in Westfalen-Lippe 3, 1985: Abb. 95), Werle Kr. Aschendorf-Hümmling (Schlicht, 1968: Fig. 7). They can be distinguished from the rather similar lugged beakers chiefly by their cylindrical necks with tureen style decoration (i.e.
single neck zone), whereas lugged beakers have slightly flaring necks with two horizontal zones (see under Lugged Beaker for references). The lugged vessel from Emmeln (No. 17) combines the amphora shape with the decoration of the lugged beaker. In general, the later examples are easier to distinguish from each other.

Amphorae increase in numbers from the beginning of Horizon 4 and because of their frequent occurrence in flat graves, particularly in the Westfalia region, form an important constituent of the ceramic range. The earliest amphorae at G2 belong to type 1 Horizon 4, with horizontal *tiefstich* and occasional multiple arcs, and empty zones on the necks (Nos 288-290). Possibly slightly later are amphorae with block decoration on the neck and shoulder, with the vertical blocks continuing over the shoulder onto the upper body (Nos 291-293, 295, 296). Also belonging to the same horizon are amphorae with narrow panels of chevron below the lugs (Nos 289, 293) or lozenge (No. 288).

Type 2 amphorae (Nos 297-319) have cylindrical necks, sometimes high shoulders, rounded bodies and predominantly *Tiefstich* or grooved decoration. The motifs include blocks of tightly packed small zigzag and horizontal lines (Nos 301-303, 305, 308, 309, 311, 314) which belong to the Uddelermeer-Anlo Style of Horizon 5 when similar patterns are found on bowls and tureen-amphorae. These examples may belong to the earlier part of the horizon when lines below the rim, a gap immediately above the base of the neck and decoration covering a larger proportion of the body (cf. amphorae type 1 Nos 287-290) suggest the continuing influence of Horizon 4. Type 2 amphorae (e.g. Nos 298, 307, 312, 313) are sometimes difficult to distinguish from similarly decorated funnel beakers. The close resemblances between some funnel beakers and amphora of type 2 is also seen at Hardenberg (compare the apparently lugless amphora Knöll, 1959: Tafel 42:22 with funnel beaker No. 24 on the plate). Amphorae of type 2 do not seem to occur later than Horizon 5.

Tureen-amphorae (Nos 282, 320-325) evolve out of tureens of Horizon 4, probably towards the end of that horizon. The necks are usually vertical, but may also be slightly flaring or conical. The shoulder is small and may be accentuated by the small lugs or bosses which occur on it. The body starts to narrow gently immediately below the shoulder. Early tureen-amphorae occasionally bear multiple arc decoration (No. 282). The large multiple zigzag (Mesum: Bakker, 1979: fig. B18:3,6) indicates Heek-Emmeln Style, while the horizontal band and block patterns in lines, hollow stamp impressions or small closest zigzag indicate Uddelermeer-Anlo Style.

From these tureen-amphorae develop all the shouldered pots (except the funnel beaker cups) of the following Horizon 6, none of which occur at G2. The only identifiable representatives of Horizon 6 are the shoulderless pail No. 133 and the funnel beaker cup No. 103.

5.10. Undecorated shouldered vases with/without lugs (Nos 326-348)

About twenty-two vases have been included under this heading. These are of various shapes but usually have a neck and shoulder and are about one and a half times as high as the maximum width. Many have an angular profile. About half of them have lugs, either two or four, evenly spaced or in pairs (Nos 326-331a-e, 342, 345, 346). Some of the remainder may also have had lugs originally. The absence of decoration and lack of familiarity with the shapes of these vessels probably means that some have not been recognized. Some of the vessels may be related to amphorae – undecorated amphorae of all groups are relatively well-known (Nos 294, 318, 319, 325). Undecorated shouldered and lugged vases are a frequent constituent of flat graves of all horizons. Others can be paralleled amongst the undecorated shouldered and frequently lugged wares from Beekhuizerzand (Modderrnan et al., 1976: figs 8-9) and Emmeln (Schlicht, 1968: Nos 874-907).

While an undecorated element certainly exists during the earlier stages of the TRB, it seems to have become increasingly popular after Horizon 5. However, it is always unwise to classify and date different pots on the basis of the absence of decoration.

5.11. Collared flasks (Nos 349-359)

The assemblage includes about eleven collared flasks, of all shapes: spherical (No. 349), biconical (No. 356), flat-shouldered (No. 350) and intermediary. Two are decorated. All the necks are short and have been constructed by drawing the clay up around a narrow stick. The collars all appear to have been applied.

As Bakker and Van der Waals (1973) have shown, collared flasks occur during all phases of the West Group TRB. Bakker (1979: p. 56) states that the shape of the flask is an unreliable indicator of date, although the truly spherical examples are probably early (his phases A-C).

5.12. Biberons (Nos 350-351)

Parts of two biberons were reconstructed.

According to Bakker, biberons occur in his Phases B+C and D, but not later (1979: p. 57). Associations in flat graves indicate at least Horizons 2 and 3 and the earlier part of Horizon 4.

5.13. Miscellaneous (Nos 362-366)

This section includes reconstructable pots which cannot be placed in any of the above categories. No.
5.14. Decorated rims, decorated body sherds, bases

The material illustrated in figures 49-50 includes sherds which are not clearly identifiable as specific vessels or types, usually because of their small size, but which also do not belong to any of the reconstructed pots.

6. CHRONOLOGY AND DATING OF ACTIVITY AT THE TOMB

Only one aspect of the chamber deposit (i.e. flint, amber, bone and ceramic offerings) is useful to the discussion of the chronology of activity on the site. The discussion below is therefore limited almost entirely to the decorated pottery. The pottery in the burial chamber belongs to Horizons 2-5 and 7 as described by Brindley (1986). The earliest pottery — one bowl (No. 113), and possibly a second (No. 126), and three jugs (Nos 238-240) — belongs to the later part of Horizon 2. The ornamentation of the bowl as a whole in particular has more in common with Horizon 3 bowls than with the simpler ornament of earlier Horizon 2, e.g. the bowl from Elspeet A (Bakker, 1979: fig. B6:3). It is probable that some of the funnel beakers also belong to this period of activity, including the funnel beaker (No. 38) from the flat grave (see 2.5). The small quantity of material probably also indicates that the chamber was in use for only a relatively short period before the beginning of Horizon 3.

To Horizon 3 belong most of the lugged bowls (Nos 114-125) and pails (Nos 129-131), some non-lugged decorated bowls (Nos 134-138), one lugged beaker (No. 111), fourteen tureens (Nos 243-256, 258, 259), and some funnel beakers including No. 57 (which is part of a service set with tureen No. 249). Some of the collared flasks and the biberons may also belong to this horizon. Although most aspects of Horizon 3 are included in this array, the number of pails is unusually small and the absence of shoulder panel decoration on the tureens is puzzling. However, neither of these two absences are likely to indicate anything other than personal choice and chance selection in the deposition of pottery.

Horizon 4 material includes a number of recognizable funnel beakers (possibly Nos 45-47 with large zigzags and Nos 65-67 with multiple arcs). Also included are a lugged beaker (No. 112), one pail (No. 132), bowls (Nos 127, 128, 139-165), tureens (Nos 260-281), one tureen-amphora (No. 282), amphorae type 1 (Nos 288-296) and type 2 (304, 307, 316, 317). Both collared flasks and biberons are also found during this horizon. The most striking absence is the large zigzag from the necks of tureens.

Both the Heek-Emmeln and the Uddelemer-Emmeln Style of Horizon 5 are represented, the former by only five examples: the funnel beakers Nos 48 and 64, the decorated bowl No. 171, the amphora type 1 No. 298 and the amphora type 2 No. 308. The two bowls Nos 167 and 168 may represent very early examples of this style, or belong to late Horizon 4. To the Uddelemer-Emmeln Style belong the amphorae type 2 Nos 299-303, 305, 306, 309-314, and possibly Nos 318 and 319, and the tureen-amphorae Nos 320-325. The funnel beakers Nos 51 and 52 and bowl No. 172 probably belong to this style also. Both funnel beaker cups and collared flasks form part of the normal Horizon 5 assemblage. Within the context of Horizon 5 material as a whole, the G2 pots appear to belong to the earlier half of the horizon, having more in common with Horizon 4 material than with the well-developed Horizon 5 material which is found for instance at Harderwijk (Manssen, 1980: fig. 2) and Anlo (Waterbol, 1960: figs 37, 38). It seems therefore, that the chamber area was not used for sometime after the first part of Horizon 5 until Horizon 7.

The only material of Horizon 6 is that found in a pit inserted at the foot of the cover mound consisting of a pail and a funnel beaker cup (Nos 133 and 103).

However, during Horizon 7 the chamber was once more used for offerings and deposits. To this horizon belong the bowls Nos 174, 175, necked bowls Nos 213-235 and lugged and cordoned bowls Nos 236 and 237, as well, probably, as some collared flasks and funnel beaker cups.

The available radiocarbon dates for the TRB West Group show that it lasted approximately 550 years, beginning about 3400 cal BC and ending c. 2850 cal BC (Brindley, 1986). It is very probable that Horizons 1 and 2 were of relatively short duration, i.e. 50 years each. Horizons 3, 4 and 5 lasted probably c. 100, c. 150 and c. 100 years respectively, leaving 100 years for Horizons 6 and 7 together. Horizon 6 was probably of fairly short duration. This timescale is based on a consideration of the calibration curve of the period and the relative quantities of pottery known from each horizon.

Glimmen G2 was probably constructed c. 3300 cal BC. The chamber seems to have been in continuous use for about 300 years, before being abandoned. During the next 100 years, a single
offering was placed at the foot of the mound during Horizon 6. Activity recommenced during the final stages of the culture, around c. 2900 cal BC and may have lasted another 50 years.

The chamber therefore, was used for a total of c. 350 years (end of Horizon 2, Horizons 3, 4, first half of 5, and 7), during which c. 400 pots were deposited – i.e. slightly more than one per year. However, the service sets show that more than one pot may have been deposited during a single visit and possibly as many as five or six, figures which occur quite commonly in flat graves. It is therefore very unlikely that the chamber was visited on more than one annual basis, and very possibly, less frequently. When discussing the pottery from the hunebed, it is important to remember that pottery of any one horizon represents only a few pots from a settlement assemblage which is otherwise unknown.

7. SUMMARY

The excavation of the destroyed hunebed G2 on the Glimmer Es revealed the well-preserved ground-plan of a chamber with seven pairs of side stones, entrance on the south side with at least one pair of portal stones, some evidence for a kerb and the outline of the former covering mound. Small portions of the original floor of the chamber also remained in situ. The chamber filling which was fragmented but otherwise more-or-less complete, contained sherds of c. 400 pots, axes, arrowheads, bikkels, amber beads and a small amount of cremated bone. This represents the original contents of the chamber. Secondary activity was represented by sherds of several Single Grave amphorae, three Bell Beakers, two oval flint knives, and possibly a small hemispherical amber bead. The hunebed does not seem to have been further disturbed until it was robbed of all of its stone, probably for church building in the late 10th or 11th century, the date suggested by the sherd of a koge/po.
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